CT ASYAHRI REV 1

by Asyhari Asyahri

Analysis of Fuzzy Associative Memory in satisfaction of inpatient services.

**Muhammad Sabir Ramadhan[1], Nizwardi Jalinus[2], Refdinal[3], Marsono[4],
Yohanni Syahra[5], Asyahri Hadi Nasyuha[6], Buyung Solihin Hasugian[7],
Erika Fahmi Ginting[8], Masyuni Hutasuhut[9]**

[1]Faculty of Engineering, Asahan University, Kisaran, Indonesia
[2,3]Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Negeri Padang, Indonesia
[4,5,6,8,9]Information Of System, STMIK Triguna Dharma, Indonesia
[7]Faculty of Engineering and Computer Science, Dharmawangsa University, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:
Decission Support System (DSS)

Keyword:
Patient Satisfaction
DSS
Fuzzy Associative Memory

ABSTRACT

Patients are the main object who must get the best service at a hospital, because the quality of hospital services determines the recovery of a patient and the quality of the hospital.
The quality of hospital services has two components, namely the fulfillment of predetermined quality standards and the fulfillment of patient satisfaction. Hospitals must provide services that focus on patient satisfaction. Improving the quality of health services can be started by evaluating each element that plays a role in shaping patient satisfaction.
The application of evaluation measures to patient care in each hospital is needed as an increase in the quality of service to patients. The analysis of the fuzzy associate memory method has the closeness of human reasoning to get solutions to various problems so that they are easy to apply and understand. Utilization of decision support system analysis with fuzzy associate memory method can be used as an evaluation of the perception of each consumer complaint to measure the level of patient satisfaction.

Corresponding Author:

Muhammad Sabir Ramdhan,
Faculty of Engineering,
Asahan University,
Street Jend. Ahmad Yani, Kisaran Naga, Kisaran, Indonesia
Email: ramasabir@gmail.com

1.   INTRODUCTION

The hospital is a place for providing health services provided by doctors, nurses and other health professionals[1]. And the quality of hospital servants has two components, namely fulfillment of predetermined quality standards and fulfillment of customer satisfaction. Hospitals must provide services that focus on customer satisfaction so that health services have a very important role for every visitor who comes to the hospital. Improving the quality of health care services can be started by evaluating every element that plays a role in shaping patient satisfaction, so that the health care system can be improved through clinical channels, services, including patient perspectives such as some of the health services they need. The quality of this service in the end can provide several benefits, including establishing a harmonious relationship between the provision of goods and services with patients, providing a good basis for the creation of patient loyalty and forming recommendations from quality to quality that are favorable for the provision of these services. In Decision Support Systems there are several methods used to solve a problem, one of which uses a computational method. One of the computational methods that are quite developed at this time is an intelligent system. In information technology, intelligent systems can also be used to make predictions. One of the methods in intelligent systems that can be used to make predictions is using fuzzy logic. Utilization of Fuzzy logic can be used as a Decision Support System to determine the level of service

satisfaction that is a problem by using the Fuzzy Associative Memory method. Decision Support Systems are computer-based systems that are used to make decisions in an organization, agency or company[2]. So that the fuzzy associative memory method is one of the algorithms that have fuzzy logic so that this method can make flexible decisions[3]. Fuzzy systems have the closeness of human reasoning[4], so that the solutions obtained are easy to apply and understand. By utilizing the fuzzy system, it can be used as an evaluation of the perception of each consumer's complaint which can measure the level of satisfaction with the patient.

2. RESEARCH METHODS
2.1 Decision Support System (DSS)
Decision support system is also a computer-based information system that produces various alternative decisions that assist management in dealing with structured or unstructured problems using data or models[5]. Decision support systems are usually built to support a solution to a problem or to evaluate an opportunity[6]. Decision Support System is an interactive information system used to provide information, modeling, and data processing[7],[8]. This system functions in making decisions in both semistructured and unstructured situations[9],[5],[10]. Decision Support Systems are systems that help make decisions using data and models[11],[12]. A system that can solve problems.

2.2 Fuzzy Associative Memory
Fuzzy Associative Memory which was first published by Bart Kosko[13]. So that FAM is a fuzzy system that maps one fuzzy set to another[14],[15]. Simple Fuzzy Associative Memory will map a fuzzy rule or set of pairs (Ai, Bj) that connects the fuzzy set Bj to fuzzy Ai[16],[17]. Thus, a FAM system can consist of several different FAM sets[18],[19]. The Fuzzy Associative Memory (FAM) algorithm is[20],[21]:

Figure 1. The Fuzzy Associative Memory (FAM) algorithm

1. Encodes input and output into a FAM matrix $\{(A_i, B_i) \mid 0 <= i < m\}$ where m is the amount of data.
2. Calculating the auto-associative fuzzy Hebbian FAM matrix with one of the two learning rules, namely with correlation-minimum encoding or with correlation product encoding.
3. If the value of M has been obtained, the value of B can be found by relating the composition of A and M. We can also find the value of A by relating the composition of B and M. Composition relation can be done with max-min composition or with max-product composition .
4. Perform a defuzzy process using the winner take all rule or by using the weighted average.

3. Analysis And Result
3.1 Problem Analysis
A patient, at the hospital provides an assessment of 5 criteria, namely service, facilities, comfort, cleanliness, rates and values given by a patient in service 50, facilities 65, comfort 67, cleanliness 70 and rate 75, then from the assessment given by the patient We can determine the level of patient satisfaction using the fuzzy Associative Memory method based on the algorithmic steps.

Figure 2. Flowchart Fuzzy Associative Memory

1.   Encoding Input and Output Into FAM Matrix

Encoding fuzzy (A, B) = ((a1, a2, ... an), (b1, b2, ... bp)) to numerically form a fuzzy associative memory matrix, requires the Hebb learning rules[22],[23]. There are 2 lessons, namely correlation-minimum encoding or with correlation product encoding. The rule used is correlation product encoding (M = AToB).

Based on the patient's function in each of the fuzzy set of variables above

  a. The function of the patient for each service set (input) is as follows

  a1 = μ Less (50) = (90-50) / (90-30) = 0.66
  a2 = μ Enough (50) = (90-50) / (90-60) = 1.33
  a3 = μ Good (50) = (50-30) / (90-30) = 0.33


Figure 3. Function of patient care

  b. Patient functions in each facility set (input) are as follows:
  a1 = μ Less (65) = (90-65) / (90-30) = 0.41
  a2 = μ Enough (65) = (90-65) / (90-60) = 0.83
 a3 = μ Good (65) = (65-30) / (90-30) = 0.58

Figure 4. Functions of patient facilities

c. The patient's functions for each comfort set (input) are as follows:

$a1 = \mu$ Less $(67) = (90-67) / (90-30) = 0.38$

$a2 = \mu$ Enough $(67) = (90-67) / (90-60) = 0.76$

$a3 = \mu$ Good $(67) = (67-30) / (90-30) = 0.61$

Figure 5. Functions of patient comfort

d. Patient functions in each cleanliness set (input) are as follows:

$a1 = \mu$ Less $(70) = (90-70) / (90-30) = 0.33$

$a2 = \mu$ Enough $(70) = (90-70) / (90-60) = 0.66$

$a3 = \mu$ Good $(70) = (70-30) / (90-30) = 0.66$

Figure 6. Graph of patient hygiene functions

e. Patient functions for each tariff set (input) are as follows:
    $a1 = \mu$ Cheap (75) = (90-75) / (90-30) = 0.25
    $a2 = \mu$ Quite Expensive (75) = (90-75) / (90-60) = 0.5
 $a3 = \mu$ Expensive (75) = (75-30) / (90-30) = 0.75

Figure 7. Graph of Patient Functions Rates

2. Calculating Auto Associative Fuzzy Hebbian FAM

From the research process, it can be seen that the value of A = (0.66,0,58,0.61,0,66.0,75) and B = (0.7,0.6,0.6,0.7,0.8) thus the M matrix based on correlation-product encoding can be seen as the following:

$$M = A^T \circ B = \begin{bmatrix} 0.66 \\ 0.58 \\ 0.61 \\ 0.66 \\ 0.75 \end{bmatrix} (0.7,0.6,0.6,0.7,0,8) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.46 & 0.39 & 0.39 & 0.46 & 0.52 \\ 0.40 & 0.34 & 0.34 & 0.40 & 0.46 \\ 0.42 & 0.36 & 0.36 & 0.42 & 0.48 \\ 0.46 & 0.39 & 0.39 & 0.46 & 0.52 \\ 0.52 & 0.45 & 0.45 & 0.52 & 0.60 \end{bmatrix}$$

3. Relation of Composition A and B

If the value of the matrix M has been obtained, then the value of B can then be obtained by using the composition relation of A and M. The composition relation used is the max-product composition[24],[25]. At the max-product composition, the value of B can be obtained using the composition A o M as follows:

    $bj = \max \{(ai * mij)\}$, sehingga ;
    $b1 = \max\{(0.66*0.46) ; (0.58*0.40); (0.61*0.42) ; (0.66*0.46); (0.75*0.52)\}$
    $= \max\{0.30; 0.0.23; 0.25; 0.30; 0.39\} = 0.39$
    $b2 = \max\{(0.66*0.39) ; (0.58*0.34); (0.61*0.36) ; (0.66*0.39); (0.75*0.45)\}$
    $= \max\{0.25; 0.19; 0.21; 0.23; 0.33\} = 0.33$
    $b3 = \max\{(0.66*0.39) ; (0.58*0.34); (0.61*0.36) ; (0.66*0.39); (0.75*0.45)\}$
    $= \max\{0.25; 0.19; 0.21; 0.23; 0.33\} = 0.33$
    $b4 = \max\{(0.66*0.46) ; (0.58*0.40); (0.61*0.42) ; (0.66*0.46); (0.75*0.52)\}$
    $= \max\{0.30; 0.23; 0.25; 0.30,0; 0.39\} = 0.39$
    $b5 = \max\{(0.66*0.52) ; (0.58*0.46); (0.61*0.48) ; (0.66*0.52); (0.75*0.60)\}$
    $= \max\{0.34; 0.26; 0.29; 0.34; 0.45\} = 0.45$
    B = 0.39; 0.33; 0.33; 0.39; 0.45

4. Defuzzy

In the FAM method, the defuzzyfication process is carried out in 2 ways, namely winner take all by taking the highest value of B * 100% or the corresponding weighted average. So that in the case of this study the final score to determine the level of satisfaction of the patient is b5 = 0.45 * 100% = 45% (winner take all). If the weighted average is calculated in the following way[26],[27]:

$$B^* = \frac{(0.39*50)+(0.33*65)+(0.33*67)+(0.39*70)+(0.45*75)}{0.39+0.33+0.33+0.39+0.45} = 65,66$$

From the results above, there is a table for assessing the level of patient satisfaction as in table 1 below:

Table 1. Assessment of Patient Satisfaction Level

No	Decision	Value Parameters
1	Very dissatisfied	0-39
2	Unsatisfied	40-59
3	Quite Satisfied	60-79
4	Very Satisfied	80-100

From the results of the calculations that have been done, the example of the case above has a defuzz value or a final score of 65.66 and if it is presented to 66%, then the case above the patient on the 3rd floor feels Quite Satisfied with the services provided by the hospital.

4. Conclusion
1. The method used to determine the level of patient satisfaction with inpatient services at the hospital is to get a score on each questionnaire given to the patient.
2. Selection of the right criteria in the analysis makes this research very accurate in determining the results.
3. In applying the FAM method, the selection of criteria is based on the level of importance, and gives weight to the alternatives to be selected.
4. analysis method can be used in different cases by providing suitable criteria for the problem to be solved.

REFERENCE

[1] R. A. Listiyono, "Studi Deskriptif Tentang Kuaitas Pelayanan di Rumah Sakit Umum Dr. Wahidin Sudiro Husodo Kota Mojokerto Pasca Menjadi Rumah Sakit Tipe B," *J. Kebijak. dan Manaj. Publik*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 2–7, 2015.

[2] A. Jahan, F. Mustapha, S. M. Sapuan, M. Y. Ismail, and M. Bahraminasab, "A framework for weighting of criteria in ranking stage of material selection process," *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.*, vol. 58, no. 1–4, pp. 411–420, 2012, doi: 10.1007/s00170-011-3366-7.

[3] A. G. Carranza; and J. L. D. de Leon, "A New Method to Build an Associative Memory," *IEEE Lat. Am. Trans.*, vol. 19, no. 10, pp. 1692–1791, 2021.

[4] K. Saleh, "Analisis Rule Inferensi Fuzzy Mamdani Dalam Menentukan Ipk Akhir," *J. Teknol. Inf.*, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 22, 2017, doi: 10.36294/jurti.v1i1.22.

[5] D. Handoko, M. Mesran, S. D. Nasution, Y. Yuhandri, and H. Nurdiyanto, "Application Of Weight Sum Model (WSM) In Determining Special Allocation Funds Recipients," *IJICS (International J. Informatics Comput. Sci.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 31–35, 2017.

[6] A. H. Nasyuha, "Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Menentukan Pemberian Pinjaman Modal dengan Metode Multi Attribute Utility Theory," *J. MEDIA Inform. BUDIDARMA*, vol. 3, no. 2, Apr. 2019, doi: 10.30865/mib.v3i2.1093.

[7] E. K. Zavadskas, P. Vainiūnas, Z. Turskis, and J. Tamošaitienė, "Multiple criteria decision support system for assessment of projects managers in construction," *Int. J. Inf. Technol. Decis. Mak.*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 501–520, 2012, doi: 10.1142/S0219622012400135.

[8] A. H. Nasyuha, "Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Menentukan Pemberian Pinjaman Modal dengan Metode Multi Attribute Utility Theory," *J. MEDIA Inform. BUDIDARMA*, vol. 3, no. 2, Apr. 2019, doi: 10.30865/mib.v3i2.1093.

[9] P. Sugiartawan, H. Rowa, and N. Hidayat, "Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Kenaikan Jabatan Menggunakan Metode Profile Matching," *J. Sist. Inf. dan Komput. Terap. Indones.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 97–108, 2018, doi: 10.33173/jsikti.19.

[10] L. T. Sianturi, "Implementation of Weight Sum Model (WSM) in the Selection of Football Athletes," *Int. J. Informatics Comput. Sci. (The IJICS)*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 24–27, 2019.

[11] A. A. and M. M. and R. M. Yusuff, "Simple Additive Weighting approach to Personnel Selection problem," *Int. J. Innov. Manag. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 5, pp. 511–515, 2010, doi: 10.1061/9780784413265.043.

[12]   L. Abdullah and C. W. Adawiyah, "Simple Additive Weighting Methods of Multi criteria Decision Making and Applications: A Decade Review," *Int. J. Inf. Process. Manag.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 39–49, 2014.

[13]   P. Sussner, E. L. Esmi, and I. Villaverde, "The Kosko Subsethood Fuzzy Associative Memory (KS-FAM): Mathematical Background and Aplication in Computer Vision," *J Math Imaging Vis*, pp. 134–149, 2012.

[14]   T. D. Bui, T. H. Nong, and T. K. Dang, "Improving learning rule for fuzzy associative memory with combination of content Association," *Neurocomputing*, pp. 59–64, 2015.

[15]   T. Djatna and A. Ginantaka, "Traceability of Information Routing Based on Fuzzy Associative Memory Modelling in Fisheries Supply Chain," *Int. J. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 724–734, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s40815-019-00754-3.

[16]   A. H. Ton-That, N. T. Cao, and H. Choi, "An algorithm for optimizing membership functions of fuzzy inference systems based on fuzzy associative memory," *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.*, pp. 273–285, 2014.

[17]   L. Cleofas-Sanchez, V. Garcia, A. . Marques, and J. S. Sanchez, "Financial distress prediction using the hybrid associative memory with translation," *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 2016, pp. 144–152.

[18]   Y. Sheng;, Z. Zeng;, and Tingwen Huang;, "Global Stability of Bidirectional Associative Memory Neural Networks With Multiple Time-Varying Delays," *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, pp. 1–10, 2020.

[19]   L. Li, W. Pedrycz, T. Qu, and Z. Li, "Fuzzy associative memory with autoencoding mechanisms," *Knowledge-Based Syst.*, 2019.

[20]   P. Sussner and T. Schuster, "Interval-Value Fuzzy Associative Memories Based on Representable Conjunctions with Aplications in Prediction," 2013.

[21]   L. A. Pineda, G. Fuentes, and R. Morales, "An entropic associative memory," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-86270-7.

[22]   P. Xiao, F. Yang, and Y. Yu, "Max-Min Encoding Learning Algoritm for Fuzzy Max-Multiplication Associative Memory Networks."

[23]   T. Djatna and A. Ginantaka, "Traceability of Information Routing Based on Fuzzy Associative Memory Modelling in Fisheries Supply Chain," *Int. J. Fuzzy Syst*, 2019.

[24]   X. Zhong, G. Xinbo, and X. Weixin, "A Fuzzy Associative Memory Pattern Classifier," in *Proceedings of ICSP*, 1998.

[25]   R. S. Sembiring, S. Efendi, and S. Suwilo, "Improving the accuracy of old and young face detection in the template matching method with Fuzzy Associative Memory (FAM)," in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 2020, vol. 725, no. 1, doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/725/1/012117.

[26]   J. Zhang, Z. Liu, and H. Zhou, "Real-time gait classification based on fuzzy associative memory," *Int. J. Model. Identif. Control*, vol. 10, 2010.

[27]   C. Aouiti, R. Sakthivel, and F. Touati, "Global dissipativity of fuzzy bidirectional associative memory neural networks with proportional delays," *Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 65–80, 2021, doi: 10.22111/ijfs.2021.5914.

CT ASYAHRI REV 1

15% SIMILARITY INDEX   **13**% INTERNET SOURCES   **6**% PUBLICATIONS   **9**% STUDENT PAPERS

1   www.downloadjurnal.com
Internet Source   **4**%

2   Submitted to Politeknik Negeri Bandung
Student Paper   **2**%

3   e-journal.politanisamarinda.ac.id
Internet Source   **1**%

4   Kenedi Binowo, Altien Jonathan Rindengan, Benny Pinontoan. "Application of Decision Support System Based On GUI to Determine The Best Mall In Manado City By Using ELECTRE Method", d'CARTESIAN, 2018
Publication   **1**%

5   download.atlantis-press.com
Internet Source   **1**%

6   Submitted to School of Business and Management ITB
Student Paper   **1**%

7   ijece.iaescore.com
Internet Source   **1**%

| 8 | jurnal.unai.edu
Internet Source | 1 % |

| 9 | journal.uad.ac.id
Internet Source | 1 % |

| 10 | Zulfi Azhar, Suparno, Kasman Rukun, Jalius Jama, Hansi Effendi, Mukhlidi Muskhir. "Effectiveness of E-Learning Approach to Contextual Teaching and Learning in Improving Students 'Ability", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021
Publication | 1 % |

| 11 | Dicky Nofriansyah, Sarjon Defit, Gunadi W Nurcahyo, G Ganefri, R Ridwan, Ansari Saleh Ahmar, Robbi Rahim. "A New Image Encryption Technique Combining Hill Cipher Method, Morse Code and Least Significant Bit Algorithm", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018
Publication | <1 % |

| 12 | iieng.org
Internet Source | <1 % |

| 13 | www.beckercpa.com
Internet Source | <1 % |

| 14 | Fuad Husain Akbar, Ulfah Ulfah, Yoris Adi Maretta. "The Effect of Health Services Quality on Satisfaction and Loyalty in West Sulawesi | <1 % |

Province, Indonesia", Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences, 2020

Publication

Exclude quotes	Off		Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	Off			